Magnetic Properties of Binuclear Copper(II) Complexes with One Hydroxo Bridge

M. S. HADDAD and D. N. HENDRICKSON*

School of Chemical Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill. 61801, U.S.A.

Received February 15, 1978

There has been continuing interest in the magnetic exchange properties of dihydroxo-bridged copper(II) complexes [1-3]. For some six complexes, a linear relationship has been noted between the bridge angle, Cu-O(H)-Cu, and the exchange parameter, J (i.e., parameter in spin Hamiltonian $-2JS_1 \cdot S_2$). The most ferromagnetic interaction $(2J = +122 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ was found for [Cu(bipy)(OH)]₂(NO₃)₂, where bipy is 2,2'-bipyridine. This compound has a bridge angle of 95.6(1)°. The largest bridge angle, 104.08(17), is seen in $[Cu(tmen)(OH)]_2Br_2$, where tmen is N, N, N',N'tetramethylethylenediamine. This second compound exhibits the most antiferromagnetic interaction with $2J = -509 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. To date, no copper(II) complexes have been reported with one hydroxo bridge. A considerable antiferromagnetic interaction would be expected for such a complex as a consequence of a relatively large Cu-O(H)-Cu bridge angle. The crystal structure of μ -hydroxo-bis[pentaamminechromium-(III)] does show a Cr-O(H)-Cr bridge angle of $165.6(9)^{\circ}$ [4]. In this paper, we report the preparation and magnetic properties of the first two μ hydroxo-bridged copper(II) complexes.

The addition of an aqueous solution of either NaClO₄ or KPF₆ to an aqueous solution of Cu(tren) (OH)⁺ results in the precipitation of microcrystalline $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)](ClO_4)_3 \cdot H_2O$ or $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)]$ (PF₆)₃, respectively. Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility, infrared, and EPR data have been obtained for these two compounds and these data indicate the presence of binuclear copper(II) complexes bridged by a single hydroxo bridge.

The KBr-pellet IR spectrum of either of the $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)] X_3$ compounds shows a relatively sharp and medium intensity band in a region where an O-H stretch would be expected [5]. The PF₆⁻ compound has a band at 3601 cm⁻¹, whereas the ClO_4^- compound has a band at 3571 cm⁻¹. No IR band is seen in this same region for $[Cu_2(tren)_2$ $(CN)] X_3, X = PF_6^-$ or ClO_4^- [6]. The assignment of the O-H stretch was substantiated for the PF₆⁻ compound by preparing the corresponding deuterated compound, $[Cu_2(tren-d_6)_2(OD)](PF_6)_3$. The 0-H band shifted from 3601 cm⁻¹ to 2656 cm⁻¹, giving a ν_{OH}/ν_{OD} ratio of 1.36. Similar shifts were observed for the N-H bands. In the case of the non-deuterated compound, N-H stretches are seen at 3321 and 3381 cm⁻¹ with a relatively strong N-H bend at 1601 cm⁻¹. The deuterated compound shows N-D stretches at 2440, 2452, and 2530 cm⁻¹ and a N-D bend at 1201 cm⁻¹.

The Q-band EPR spectrum (either room-temperature or liquid-nitrogen temperature) of a powdered sample of $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)](PF_6)_3$ exhibits a single derivative feature at g = 2.128. The spectrum for the CIO_4^- compound also shows only a derivative at g = 2.132. This is the same type of Q-band EPR signal observed for the $[Cu_2(tren)_2(CN)]X_3$ compounds [6]. Empirically, the presence of such a singlederivative signal points to a relatively strong magnetic exchange interaction. Exchange averaging between two Cu(tren)²⁺ moieties which are not magnetically aligned could lead to a single-derivative spectrum.

The variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility data provide further conclusive evidence for the presence of hydroxo bridges. Figure 1 illustrates the data obtained for $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)](PF_6)_3$. At 285.5 °K, the μ_{eff}/Cu (0.77 μ_B) for this compound is already considerably below the spin-only value of $1.73\mu_{\rm B}$. The solid lines in Figure 1 represent the least-squares fit of the data to the Bleaney-Bowers equation [7] for isotropic exchange in a binuclear copper(II) complex. The fitting parameters are $2J = -700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and g = 2.05. The increase in χ_M at very low temperatures is due to a small amount of a monomeric paramagnetic impurity; in the fitting the χ_m for the impurity was taken as $\chi_M =$ 8.0 × 10⁻³ cgsu at 4.2 K. A more limited data set was obtained for the ClO_4^- compound; the data set runs from 285.5 °K where $\mu_{eff}/Cu = 0.64 \mu_B$



Figure 1. Corrected molar paramagnetism per binuclear complex, χ_M , and effective magnetic moment per copper(II) ion, μ_{eff}/Cu , plotted as a function of temperature. The solid lines result from least-squares fitting the data to the Bleaney-Bowers equation.

^{*}To whom all the correspondence should be addressed.

to 203.7 °K where $\mu_{eff}/Cu = 0.40\mu_B$. Fitting the ClO_4^- data gave 2J = -760 cm⁻¹ and g = 2.120.

Both of the $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)]X_3$ compounds exhibit antiferromagnetic interactions that are considerably stronger than the strongest antiferromagnetic interaction $(2J = -509 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ seen for the dihydroxo-bridged copper(II) complexes. This is as expected because a single hydroxo bridge would lead to an appreciably larger Cu-O(H)-Cu bridge angle. However, the antiferromagnetic interactions present in the $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)]X_3$ are not as great as would naively be expected from the established [1, 2] correalations of 2J and angle for dihydroxobridged species. This is also expected, because the copper(II) ion ground states in [Cu₂(tren)₂(OH)] X₃ are d_{z^2} , whereas the dihydroxo-bridged copper(II) complexes are all square pyramidal and have $d_{x^2-v^2}$ ground states. A change in the coper(II) ground state can have a pronounced effect on the magnitude of a magnetic exchange interaction propagated by some bridging species [8]. A crystal structure of one or both of the two $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)]X_3$ compounds would be very desirable. The difference in O-H stretching frequencies between the two compounds could reflect a change in the bridge angle. Well-formed crystals of the ClO_4^- compound have been obtained.

Experimental

Compound Preparations

Samples of $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)](X)_3$ where $X = PF_6$ or ClO_4^- and tren is 2,2', 2"-triaminotriethylamine (Ames) were prepared by the following procedure. To an aqueous solution (40 ml) of ca. 1.0g (4 mmol) of $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ was added *ca*. 0.6 ml of tren followed by an aqueous solution of ca. 0.08g (2mmol) of NaOH. To the filtered solution, an aqueous saturated solution of KPF₆ or NaClO₄ was added which resulted in the precipitation of microcrystalline blue solids. The perchlorate salt precipitates as a hydrate, $[Cu_2(tren)_2(OH)](ClO_4)_3 \cdot H_2O$. Recrystallization of the latter from water yields well-formed crystals of the anhydrous form. Anal. Calcd for C12H37N8OCu2- P_3F_{18} : C, 16.55; H, 4.25; N, 12.86; Cu, 14.59. Found: C, 16.68; H, 4.01; N, 12.99; Cu, 14.43. Calcd for the hydrate, $C_{12}H_{39}N_8O_{14}Cu_2Cl_3$: C, 19.15; H, 5.18; N, 14.88; Cu, 16.88 Found: C, 19.28; H, 4.90; N, 15.08; Cu, 16.96.

The preparation of $[Cu_2(tren-d_6)_2(OD)](PF_6)_3$ was carried out in the same way as the non-deuterated compound was prepared, except D_2O was used as the reaction solvent.

Physical Measurements

Infrared spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer Model 467 spectrophotometer. Samples were prepared as 13-mm KBr pellets. The EPR instrumentation and procedure for variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility determination have been previously described [9]. The magnetic susceptibility data were leastsquares fit with an adapted version of the computer function minimization program known as STEPT [10].

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by National Institutes of Health grant HL 13652. M. Haddad acknowledges a University of Illinois Fellowship, 1976–1978. D. Hendrickson is grateful for a Camille and Henry Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar Fellowship, 1972–1977, and an A. P. Sloan Foundation Fellowship, 1976– 1978.

References

- 1 D. J. Hodgson, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 19, 173 (1975).
- 2 W. E. Hatfield, chapter 7 in "Theory and Applications of Molecular Paramagnetism," E. A. Boudreaux and L. N. Mulay, Editors, Wiley (1976).
- 3 P. J. Hay, J. C. Thibeault, and R. Hoffmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 4884 (1975).
- 4 J. T. Veal, D. Y. Jeter, J. C. Hempel, R. P. Eckberg, W. E. Hatfield, and D. J. Hodgson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 12, 2928 (1973).
- 5 J. R. Ferraro and W. R. Walker, Inorg. Chem., 4, 1382 (1965).
- 6 D. S. Bieksza and D. N. Hendrickson, Inorg. Chem., 16, 924 (1977).
- 7 B. Bleaney and K. D. Bowers, Proc. R. Soc., London, Ser. A, 214, 451 (1952).
- 8 T. R. Felthouse, E. J. Laskowski, and D. N. Hendrickson, Inorg. Chem., 16, 1077 (1977).
- 9 D. M. Duggan and D. N. Hendrickson, Inorg. Chem., 13, 2929 (1974).
- 10 J. P. Chandler, Program 66, Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana.