Low temperature redetermination of the Glycine sodium nitrate structure by using X-ray single crystal diffraction technique

G.S. Nichol^a, J. Hernández Paredes^b, H.E Esparza Poncce^b, M. Pacheco Beltran^c, M.E. Alvarez Ramos^c, and A.

Duarte-Moller b,c

^aDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Arizona, 1306 E University Boulevard, PO Box 210041, Tucson, AZ85721, United States of America. ^bCentro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados S.C., Miguel de Cervantes Saavedra 120, complejo industrial Chihuahua, Chihuahua,31109, Mexico. ^cDepartamento de Física, Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Blvd. Luis Encinas y Rosales s/n, Hermosillo, Sonora, 83000, Mexico. *e*-mail: alberto.duarte@cimav.edu.mx

Recibido el 14 de mayo de 2007; aceptado el 26 de octubre de 2007

The redetermined structure of glycine sodium nitrate, $C_2H_5N_2NaO_5$, at 150°K is reported. The compound has an overall polymeric structure whilst the glycine molecule is found in the zwitterionic form. GSN crystals were characterized by XRD powder diffraction, FTIR, UV-VIS, and DTA-TGA techniques. XRD revealed that GSN have a monoclinic structure and space group Cc. Infrared spectra confirmed that the chemical elements and functional groups are present in the crystals and also collaborated the zwiterionic form of molecule. Ultraviolet-Visible spectra showed a wide optical transparent window in the range of 340-1100 nm, which is a desirable characteristic for nonlinear optics applications. DTA-TGA analysis determined that the material is stable up to $198^{\circ}C$.

Keywords: Polymers; XRD; FTIR; UV-Vis.

Se redefinió la estructura del compuesto $C_2H_5N_2NaO_5$ (GSN por su siglas del inglés "glycine sodium nitrate"). Tiene una estructura polimérica global mientras que la molécula de Glicina se encuentra en su forma zwitterionica. Los cristales de GSN se caracterizaron por diversas técnicas: XRD de polvos, FTIR, UV-VIS y DTA-TGA. XRD reveló que GSN tiene una estructura monoclínica Cc. Los espectros infrarrojos confirmaron que los elementos químicos y los grupos funcionales están presentes en los cristales y colaboran con la forma zwitterionic de la molécula. Los espectros Ultravioleta-Visible mostraron una amplia ventana óptica transparente en el intervalo de los 340 a los 1100 nm, una característica deseable para aplicaciones en óptica no lineal. DTA-TGA mostró que el material es estable hasta los 198°C.

Descriptores: Polímeros; XRD; FTIR; UV-VIS.

PACS: 41.50.+h; 42.15.Eq; 42.25.Fx

1. Introduction

As part of research into materials for use in the field of nonlinear optics, crystals of glycine sodium nitrate (I) were prepared. Inorganic salts of amino acids have shown to be useful in nonlinear optics studies (Aggarwal, 2003; Rajan Babu, 2002; Razzetti, 2002). The room-temperature crystal structure of (I), refined in space group Cc, was reported by Krishnakumar et al. (2001) although no mention of the non-linear optical properties of the compound is discussed.

2. Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared by dissolving 32.83 g of glycine and 37.16 g of sodium nitrate in 100 ml of double-distilled water. Crystals were grown by slow solvent evaporation from a saturated solution over several weeks at a constant temperature of 30° C

All H atoms were first located from a difference electron density map. N—H H atoms were freely refined. The C—H H atoms were refined as riding, with a C-H distance constrained to be 0.99 A and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. Since the largest element in this structure is sodium, the value of

the Flack parameter is meaningless due to lack of significant anomalous dispersion. Friedel pairs were thus merged during the final refinement.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001). Cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001). Data reduction: SAINT. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2001). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL. Molecular graphics: ORTEP3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL and local programs. Experimental detail appears as shown in Table I.

TABLE	I.	

Crystal data	
$C_2H_5N_2NaO_5$	Mo K α radiation
Mr = 160.07	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 1600 reflections
Ia	$\theta = 3.24 - 27.75^{\circ}$
a = 9.140 (6) Å	$\mu = 0.226 \; {\rm mm^{-1}}$
b = 5.294 (4) Å	T = 150 (2) K
c = 12.747 (9) Å	Prism

V = 607.8 (7) A3 $0.21 \times 0.15 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$ Z = 4Dx = 1.749 Mg m ⁻³ Dm not measuredData collectionBruker SMART 1K CCD 520 reflections with diffractometerThin-slice ! scansI > $2\sigma(I)$ Absorption correction: $R_{int} = 0.0227$ multi-scan SADABS; $\theta_{max}/25.00 \triangleright \infty \frown$ Sheldrick, 2006referenc $h = -10 - 10$ $T_{min} = 0.9340$, $R_{max} = 0.9669$ 1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions539 independent reflec-tionsRefinement	$\beta = 99.765 \ (9)$	Colourless
Z = 4Dx = 1.749 Mg m ⁻³ Dm not measuredData collectionBruker SMART 1K CCD 520 reflections with diffractometerThin-slice ! scansI > $2\sigma(I)$ Absorption correction: $R_{int} = 0.0227$ multi-scan SADABS; $\theta_{max}/25.00 \triangleright \infty \frown$ Sheldrick, 2006 $h = -10 - 10$ Tmin = 0.9340, $k = -6 - 6$ Tmax = 0.96691993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions539 independent reflec-Kefinement $k = -10 - 10$	V = 607.8 (7) A3	$0.21 \times 0.15 \times 0.15 ~\rm{mm}$
Dx = 1.749 Mg m ⁻³ Dm not measured Data collection Bruker SMART 1K CCD 520 reflections with diffractometer Thin-slice ! scans I > $2\sigma(I)$ Absorption correction: $R_{int} = 0.0227$ multi-scan SADABS; $\theta_{max}/25.00 \triangleright \circ\circ \frown$ Sheldrick, 2006 referenc $h = -10 - 10$ $T_{min} = 0.9340$, $k = -6 - 6$ $T_{max} = 0.9669$ 1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions 539 independent reflec- tions Refinement	Z = 4	
Dm not measuredData collectionData collectionBruker SMART 1K CCD 520 reflections with diffractometerThin-slice ! scansI > $2\sigma(I)$ Absorption correction: $R_{int} = 0.0227$ multi-scan SADABS; $\theta_{max}/25.00 \triangleright \circ\circ \frown$ Sheldrick, 2006referenc $h = -10 - 10$ Tmin = 0.9340, $k = -6 - 6$ Tmax = 0.96691993measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions539 independent reflec-tionsRefinement	$Dx = 1.749 Mg m^{-3}$	
Data collectionData collectionBruker SMART 1K CCD 520 reflections with diffractometerThin-slice ! scansI > $2\sigma(I)$ Absorption correction:R _{int} = 0.0227 multi-scan SADABS; $\theta_{max}/25.00 \triangleright \circ\circ \frown$ Sheldrick, 2006referenc $h = -10 - 10$ Tmin = 0.9340 , $k = -6 - 6$ Tmax = 0.9669 1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions539 independent reflec-tionsRefinement	Dm not measured	
Bruker SMART 1K CCD 520 reflections with diffractometerThin-slice ! scansI > $2\sigma(I)$ Absorption correction: $R_{int} = 0.0227$ multi-scan SADABS; $\theta_{max}/25.00 \triangleright \infty$ Sheldrick, 2006 $h = -10 - 10$ referenc $h = -10 - 10$ Tmin = 0.9340, $k = -6 - 6$ Tmax = 0.96691993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions539 independent reflec-tionsRefinement	Data collection	
Thin-slice ! scansI > $2\sigma(I)$ Absorption correction: $R_{int} = 0.0227$ multi-scan SADABS; $\theta_{max}/25.00 \rhd \circ\circ \frown$ Sheldrick, 2006 $h = -10 - 10$ referenc $h = -10 - 10$ $T_{min} = 0.9340$, $k = -6 - 6$ $T_{max} = 0.9669$ 1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ 1993 independent reflec-15tions539 independent reflec-Refinement	Bruker SMART 1K CCD diffractometer	520 reflections with
Absorption correction: $\mathbf{R}_{int} = 0.0227$ multi-scan SADABS; $\theta_{max}/25.00 \vartriangleright \infty \frown$ Sheldrick, 2006 $referenc$ referenc $h = -10 - 10$ $T_{min} = 0.9340$, $k = -6 - 6$ $T_{max} = 0.9669$ 1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ 1993 independent reflec- 15 tions 539 independent reflec-Refinement 100	Thin-slice ! scans	$I > 2\sigma(I)$
multi-scan SADABS; $\theta_{max}/25.00 \vartriangleright \circ \circ \frown$ Sheldrick, 2006referenc $h = -10 - 10$ $T_{min} = 0.9340,$ $k = -6 - 6$ $T_{max} = 0.9669$ 1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ 1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions539 independent reflec-tionsRefinement	Absorption correction:	$R_{int} = 0.0227$
referenc $h = -10 - 10$ $T_{min} = 0.9340, k = -6 - 6$ $T_{max} = 0.9669$ 1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions 539 independent reflec- tions Refinement	multi-scan SADABS; Sheldrick, 2006	$\theta_{\rm max}/25.00 ho$ oo \frown
$T_{min} = 0.9340,$ $k = -6 - 6$ $T_{max} = 0.9669$ 1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions539 independent reflec- tionsRefinement	referenc	h = -10 - 10
1993 measured reflec- $l = -15 - 15$ tions539 independent reflec- tionsRefinement	$T_{min} = 0.9340,$ $T_{max} = 0.9669$	k = -6 - 6
539 independent reflec- tions Refinement	1993 measured reflec- tions	l = -15 - 15
Refinement	539 independent reflec- tions	
	Refinement	

Refinement on F2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0396P)^2 + 0.1280P$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0234$	Where $P = Fo^2 + 2Fe^2/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.594$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.000$
S = 1.113	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.140 e \text{\AA}^3$
539 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = 0.177 e {\rm \AA}^3$
103 parameters	Extinction correction: none
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained	Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)
and constrained	

refinement

3. Results and discussion

3.1. X ray powder diffraction

In order to confirm the crystalline structure of the synthesized material, powder diffraction data was taken in a X-PERT Philips diffractometer with CuK α (λ =1.540598 Å), using a step of 0.05° for the time interval of 10s, and a 2 θ scanning between 10-60°. Figure 1 shows the diffraction pattern, the lattice parameters and the (hkl) planes. The plane reflections meet the experimentally reported structure which belongs to the monoclinic system space group Cc with cell parameters a=14.329 (3) Å, b=5.2662 (11) Å, 9.1129 (18) Å, β =119.10° (3) and cell volume V=600.9 (2) Å³. The peaks in the XRD pattern were indexed, and differences in the positions of reflection of the planes with the diffraction pattern previously reported by Narayan Bhat N. and Dharmaprakash S.M. were observed.



FIGURE 1. X-ray powder diffraction pattern of GSN.

3.2. FTIR Studies

Functional groups present in the crystals were found using Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy. The IR analysis was taken with a MAGNO IR 750 series II NICO-LET spectrometer, within wave number range of 400-4000 cm^{-1} . The samples were added to a pellet of KBr.

Figure 2 shows the IR spectrum. Amino acids usually exist as a zwitterions form in crystals. They have an ionized carboxyl group (COO-) and an amine salt (NH_3^+). The NH_3^+ stretching mode was found with broad band strength with multiple peaks between 3100-2600cm⁻¹. This same mode can be seen at low frequencies up to 2200cm⁻¹. At a 2036cm⁻¹ frequency, a primary amine resonant frequency is found and it is produced of a combination band of NH_3^+ asymmetric deformation and NH_3^+ rotation. A signal of 1654cm⁻¹ corresponds to NH_3^+ deformation and a 1511cm⁻¹ corresponds to symmetric deformation.



FIGURE 2. FTIR spectra of GSN crystals.

Two overlapped signals at 1613 cm^{-1} and 1411 cm^{-1} were attributed to asymmetric and symmetric stretch modes of the COO- group. Other signals of deformation of the COO- mode were shown at 676, and at 503 cm^{-1} . This confirms that the glycine molecule exists in a zwitterion form inside the crystal. The strongest absorption at 1386 cm^{-1} is due to asymmetric NO₃ stretch and also appears at 827 cm^{-1} as a weak signal. More variable bands were found matching amino acids.

3.3. UV-Vis spectroscopy

The UV-Visible characterization of GSN crystals was recorded with a lambda 10 Perkin Elmer UV-VIS spectrometer in the range of 1100-200 nm with a crystal thickness of 2.5 mm. Figure 3 shows the optical absorption of GSN. In this graph we could observe a practically straight line from the 1100 to 340 nm range that corresponds to the near infrared to the visible frequency of the electromagnetic spectrum; this is a desirable characteristic in NLO material, so there exist more efficiency of conversion of second harmonic generation (SHG).

4. Thermal analysis

GSN DTA-TGA analysis is shown in Fig. 4. The sample was analyzed in a TA Instruments STD 2960 using simultaneous DTA-TGA mode, and was heated at rate of 15°C/min in 50 cm³ of air. From DTA curve, it has been found that GSN is stable up to 198°C, because endothermic signals were not observed, which characterize crystalline transformations. From the 198°C to 226°C the material began to change phase until finally melted completely. TGA showed a loss of material at 226°C attributed to the decomposition of the organic part. At an elevated temperature this decomposition process continues up to 700°C. Also was observed that 2.4% of the water contained in the material, was lost before being fused.



FIGURE 3. UV-Vis absorption spectra of GSN crystals.



FIGURE 4. DTA-TGA curves of GSN.

4.1. Single crystal X-Ray diffraction

This low-temperature redetermination is presented in the conventional space group Ia. The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of one glycine molecule, one sodium cation and one nitrate anion. The structure is polymeric and the complete coordination environment of the sodium centre is shown in Fig. 5. The octa-coordinated sodium centre has essentially distorted hexagonal bipyramidal geometry, with apical glycine ligands. The bonding geometry is unexceptional. The glycine



FIGURE 5. The complete coordination environment of the sodium centre in compound (I). Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level. Symmetry operators: (i), x + 0, -y + 1/2, z 1/2; (ii), x -1/2, -y, z.

TABLE II. Hydrogen-bonding geometry $(Å^{\circ}, {}^{\circ})$						
D—H···A	D—H	H—A	D—A	D—H—A		
N1—H1N···O4i	0.87 (3)	232(3)	2.894(4)	124(2)		
N1—H2N···O1ii	0.85 (4)	1.95 (4)	2.792 (3)	171 (4)		
N1—H3N····O2iii	0.87 (5)	1.93 (5)	2.793 (4)	169 (4)		
$\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{i} = \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{i} + $						

Symmetry codes: (i) x, x - y, x + z; (ii) x - x, 1 - y, z; (iii) x, 1 + y, z.

molecule exists as a zwitterion and N—HO hydrogen bonding secures the location of the N—H H atoms (Table II).

5. Conclusions

The constant temperature technique evaporation of the solution generated GSN crystals with a stable aminoacidionic salt combination, which was proven with XRD and

- M.D. Aggarwal, J. Stephens, A.K. Batra, and R.B. Lal, J. Optoelectronics Adv. Mater. 5 (2003) 555.
- 2. Bruker (2001).SAINT (Version 6.22) and SMART (Version 5.622). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- 3. L.J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Cryst. 30 (1997) 565.
- R.V. Krishnakumar, M. Subha Nandhini, S. Natarajan, K. Sivakumar, and B. Varghese, *Acta Cryst.* C57 (2001) 1149.

FTIR analysis. We have proven that the existence of a dipolar molecule contributed to the formation of a noncentrosymetric crystalline structure. Optical transmission studies showed that this material does not have electronic transitions in the range of 340 to 1100 nm and it may be used for SHG. Thermal analysis showed that GSN is stable up to 198°C, GSN crystals showed thermal stability and kept their crystalline structure. Finally we have been obtained the redetermination at low temperature of the GSN structure by swing the single crystal X- ray diffraction technique.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the Universidad de Sonora and the Centro de Investigacion en Materiales Avanzados (CIMAV) for financial support. The X-ray diffractometer was funded from National Science Foundation grant CHE-9610374.

- D. Rajan Babu, D. Jayaraman, R. Mohan Kumar, and R. Jayavel, J. Cryst. Growth 245 (2002) 121.
- C. Razzetti, M. Ardoino, L. Zanotti, M. Zha, and C. Paorici, Crystal Res. Technol. 37 (2002) 456.
- 7. G.M. Sheldrick, (2001). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- 8. G.M. Sheldrick, (2006). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.